WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

			V.			
		Anthon	ny Duane, Sr.	Case Number: _	CR-13-00775-PHX-NVW	
				42(f), a detention hearing has both, as applicable.)	peen submitted to the Court. I conclude	
	by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.					
		•	reponderance of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and require the detention of the defendant ng trial in this case.			
			PART	I FINDINGS OF FACT		
	(1) 18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The defend would have been a federal offense if a			•	ederal offense)(state or local offense that eral jurisdiction had existed) that is	
			a crime of violence as defined i	n 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).		
			an offense for which the maxim	num sentence is life imprisonme	ent or death.	
			an offense for which a maximum	m term of imprisonment of ten	years or more is prescribed in	
			a felony that was committed aft described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(ter the defendant had been corf)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable sta	nvicted of two or more prior federal offenses te or local offenses.	
				ned in section 921), or any oth	ssession or use of a firearm or destructive er dangerous weapon, or involves a failure	
	(2)		18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.			
	(3)	18 U.S convid	S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of ction)(release of the defendant fro	not more than five years has e m imprisonment) for the offens	lapsed since the (date of se described in finding 1.	
	(4)	Findir will re not re	ngs Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish asonably assure the safety of (an butted this presumption.	a rebuttable presumption that open the comment of t	no condition or combination of conditions nunity. I further find that the defendant has	
			A	Iternative Findings		
	(1)	18 U.	S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is probable	e cause to believe that the def	endant has committed an offense	
			for which a maximum term of ir	nprisonment of ten years or mo	ore is prescribed in1	
			under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b.		
			under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594, fo prescribed.	r which a maximum term of im	prisonment of 20 years or more is	
			an offense involving a minor vio	ctim under section	2	
	(2)	The d condit	efendant has not rebutted the pre	sumption established by findin	g 1 that no condition or combination of required and the safety of the community.	

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

 $^{{}^{2}} Insert \ as \ applicable \ 18 \ U.S.C. \ \S\$1201, \ 1591, 2241-42, \ 2244(a)(1), \ 2245, \ 2251, \ 2251A, \ 2252(a)(1), \ 2252(a)(2), \ 2252(a)(3, \ 2252(a)(4), \ 2260, \ 2421, \ 2422, \ 2423, \ or \ 2425.$

Case 2:13-cr-00775-DKD Document 8 Filed 07/03/13 Page 2 of 3

	Alternative Findings			
(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.			
(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.			
(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).			
(4)				
	PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)			
(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:			
	The defendant has an extensive criminal history, including two convictions for Battery in San Carlos Apache			
	Tribal Court case nos. CR-2012-1373 and CR-2013-402. In addition, the serious and dangerous nature of the			
	alleged instant offense is a factor that further supports the finding that the defendant poses a risk of danger to			
	the community.			
	·			
(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of			
	·			
The d	lefendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:			

³The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

Case 2:13-cr-00775-DKD Document 8 Filed 07/03/13 Page 3 of 3

×	In addition:				
	The defendant submitted the issue of detention.				

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

DATE: July 3, 2013

Honorable Steven P. Logan United States Magistrate Judge